The Catalytic Oxidation of 1 -Butene over Bismuth Molybdate Catalysts

III. The Reduction of Bismuth Oxide, Molybdenum Oxide, Bismuth Molybdate, and of some Nonstoichiometric Molybdenum Oxides with 1 -Butene

PH. A. BATIST, C. J. KAPTEIJNS, B. C. LIPPENS, AND G. C. A. SCHUIT

From the Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Technological University, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Received May 11, 1966; revised August 1, 1966

The reaction of 1-butene with bismuth molybdate, MoO_s , and some nonstoichiometric MO oxides was studied as a function of time and temperature at virtually constant hydrocarbon pressure. The reaction proceeds to four-valent molybdenum and to zero-valent bismuth. It is initially fast on bismuth molybdate, but MoO_s and some of the nonstoichiometric oxides show an induction period. The hydrocarbon products of the reduction are butadiene, CO, and CO₂, while also isomerization of 1-butene to cis- and trans-2butene is observed.

The kinetic analysis of the rate as a function of the time was made on the basis of a model proposed by Crank and extended by Haul, Just, and Diimbgen, consisting of a surface reaction followed by oxygen vacancy diffusion in the solid. The model furnishes quantitative values for a surface rate constant and a diffusion constant in the interior.

The surface reaction was observed to be fast enough to account for the rate of the oxidative dehydrogenation. Since also the nature of the reduction products is similar to that observed during the catalytic reaction it is concluded that the latter consists of a surface reduction followed by a reoxidation with gaseous oxygen.

The diffusion constants observed could be given as

The numerical values for D observed for the MoO_s reduction are equal to those obtainable from the experiments of von Destinon-Forstmann for the reduction of MoO₃ by hydrogen.

mechanism for the catalytic oxidation of and the ally1 carbanion. The reduction of 1 -hutane to butadiane over M_0 , and M_0 ⁶⁺ to M_0 ⁴⁺ is probably not the only type 1-butene to butadiene over Mo_{3} and $M_{0}^{(n)}$ to $M_{0}^{(n+1)}$ is probably not the only type
Bi.O. \pm MoO, catalysts that can be sum-
of cation reduction that may occur: the $Bi₂O₃ + MoO₃$ catalysts that can be sum $marged$ as follows: $marged$ presence of Mo^{t+} or of some reduced form

$$
C_4H_8 + \square + O^{2-} \rightleftarrows C_4H_7^- + OH^- \qquad (1)
$$

\n
$$
Mo^{6+} + C_4H_7 \rightarrow [Mo = C_4H_7]^{6+}
$$

$$
[Mo = CtH1]s+ + Os- \rightarrow Mot+ + \square + OH- + CtH6
$$

$$
2\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{O}^{2-} + \square + \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \tag{3}
$$

$$
O_2 + 2\square + 2\mathrm{Mo^{4+}} \rightarrow 2\mathrm{O^{2-}} + 2\mathrm{Mo^{6+}}
$$

INTRODUCTION Here, \Box is an anion vacancy at the surface In a preceding paper (1) we formula ed a and $[Mo = C_4H_7]^{5+}$ is a π complex of Mo⁶⁺ echanism for the catalytic oxidation of and the allyl carbanion. The reduction of of Bi^{n+} is not excluded. The reverse of reac- $\lim_{h \to \infty} (1)$ was supposed to lead to the formation of cis- and trans-2-butene. Furthermore It was postulated that the reoxidation of $\frac{2}{1+\epsilon}$ the reduced catalyst (reaction (4)) is feat the reduced catalyst [reaction (4)] is fast 20 and that the equilibrium for the hydroxyla- (4) tion-dehydroxylation reaction (3) is on the

left side for $MoO₃$ and on the right side for $Bi₂O₃$ -Mo $O₃$.

The presence of the intermediate ally1 complex of vital importance for the relevancy of this reaction mechanism was proved earlier by Adams (2) on the one hand and by Sachtler and de Boer (3) on the other hand. One of its essential characteristics, however, the successive reduction and oxidation of the catalyst so far remains unproved. For this assumption to be applicable one should demand that reduction of the catalyst by 1-butene should (a) proceed at a rate comparable to that of the oxidative dehydrogenation reaction; (b) lead to products similar to those observed there. Strictly speaking, this only applies for the initial stages of the reduction since later on the partially reduced catalyst might differ in its characteristics as to rate and selectivity from the fully oxidized sample.

This paper reports on the results obtained by reduction of $MoO₃-containing catalysts$ by 1-butene and contains a proof for the correctness of the reduction-oxidation model given above. Since reduction of $MO₃$ might proceed via the formation of nonstoichiometric MO oxides, some of these were prepared and also investigated as to their reduction properties. Moreover, an abnormal, i.e., less active, Bi/Mo catalyst (Bi/Mo catalyst (B-50/50) was put to the same test.

Reduction of the oxide entails a depletion of oxygen in the interior of the solid and therefore the cooperation of diffusional processes. In order to interpret the reduction correctly, especially in the initial stages, an appropriate model to treat the combined influence of surface reduction and oxygen diffusion should be available.

THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR A SURFACE REACTION FOLLOWED BY DIFFUSION IN THE INTERIOR OF THE SOLID

Species that are removed from the solid are the oxygen ions that are converted to water in the gaseous phase. Alternatively one might say that anion vacancies are added to the solid, the hydrocarbon being the source of these vacancies. However,

oxygen ion diffusion and vacancy diffusion are identical except for the sign.

In the solid Fick's law demands that

$$
\partial C/\partial t = D(\partial^2 C/\partial x^2) \tag{1}
$$

where C is the concentration of the migrating species be it oxygen or vacancy. If it is assumed that diffusion occurs only in one direction the solid therefore can be considered as being semiinfinite with one boundary plane surface. To solve the differential equation, the initial and boundary conditions have to be stated. We choose now to define these in accordance with Crank (4) . See also Haul, Just, and Dümbgen (5) , who applied a similar model.

The initial condition is given by assuming a concentration C_0 in the gas phase and C_z in the solid at $t = 0$. The concentration C_0 either representing 1-butene $($ = vacancies $)$ or water $($ = α ygen ions) is not supposed to change during the reduction. The rate at which the diffusing species enters into the surface (vacancies) or leaves it (oxygen ions) should furnish the boundary condition and is supposed to be given by

$$
K_{\rm s}(C_0-C_{\rm s})\tag{2}
$$

where C_s is the concentration at the surface, and K_s , a constant with dimension sec⁻¹. This decrease or increase is counteracted by the diffusion from or to the interior, the rate of which is given by

$$
-D|\partial C_s/\partial x| \qquad \text{for} \qquad x=0
$$

where D is the diffusion constant (cm² \sec^{-1} .

Under stationary conditions $C_{\rm s}$ is supposed to change only slowly, hence

$$
|\partial C_{\rm s}/\partial t| = 0
$$

To equate the two components of transport, it should be considered that D , the diffusion constant, consists of a jump frequency multiplied by the square of a jump distance λ , that is of the order of magnitude of the lattice constant. Hence

$$
-D|\partial C/\partial x|_{x=0} = K_s \lambda (C_0 - C_s) \qquad (3)
$$

or

$$
-D|\partial C/\partial x|_{x=0} = \alpha(C_0 - C_{\rm s}) \qquad (4)
$$

with $\alpha = K_s \lambda$ and the dimension of α , $cm \text{ sec}^{-1}$. Under these conditions the solution of the differential equation is

$$
M_t = [(C_0 - C_z)/h] \{ \text{e.erfc} \left[h(Dt)^{1/2} \right] - 1 + (2/\pi^{1/2})h(Dt)^{1/2} \} \quad (5)
$$

where $h = \alpha/D$; e.erfc $\varphi = e^{\varphi^2}$ (1-erf φ); and M_t is the amount of material lost from the solid at time t per unit surface $(g \text{ cm}^{-2})$. The total amount of material lost at time t is SgM_t , where S is the surface area (cm²) g^{-1}) and g is the weight of solid present. Now the total material that can be transported is $M_e = (C_0 - C_s)V$, where V is the volume of the material. Therefore $g(C_0 - C_z) =$ ρM_e , where ρ is the density of the solid. Moreover, the amount of material lost has served to dehydrogenate a certain amount of butene to butadiene.

If X is the butene fraction relative to the initial concentration in the gas phase at time t and X_e that at complete reduction of the solid sample, then Eq. (5) can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{1-X}{1-X_e} = \frac{S\rho}{h}
$$

\n
$$
\left\{ e \text{.erfc} \left[h(Dt)^{1/2} \right] - 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} h(Dt)^{1/2} \right\} \quad (6)
$$

The first term between brackets is equal to one at $t = 0$, and decreases later on to zero. As an approximation we can write

$$
(1 - X)/(1 - X_{\epsilon}) = -A + Bt^{1/2} \quad (7)
$$

in which $A = S \rho D / \alpha$ and $B = (2/\pi^{1/2})$ $SpD^{1/2}$. Equation (7) is only applicable within a restricted range of conversions since at low conversion the e.erfc term cannot be neglected versus the remaining terms in the brackets, while at higher conversions the breakdown of our original assumption of a semiinfinite medium will make itself increasingly felt. In order to define these limits in an approximate manner we introduce two new dimensionless groups: $(t/t_0)^{1/2}$ and $S_{\rho}D/\alpha$ where

$$
t_0^{1/2} = D^{1/2}/\alpha
$$

and rewrite Eq. (6) in a new form

$$
\frac{1-X}{1-X_e}\frac{\alpha}{DS\rho} = \Sigma
$$

=
$$
\left\{\text{e.erfc}\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{1/2} - 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{1/2}\right\}
$$
 (8)

Inspection reveals that the e.erfc term may only be neglected when $(t/t_0)^{1/2}$ surpasses the number 1.4. Moreover, since the maximal value of the left-hand side of Eq. (8) is equal to $\alpha/DS\rho$, the points for which the term within brackets exceed $0.9\alpha/DS_o$ are to be neglected.

Haul et al. (6) made a theoretical study of the properties of the integrated equation in particular for those cases where t_0 is high, i.e., α is relatively small compared to D, so that most of the experimental points become located in that part of Eq. (8) where Eq. (7) is still poor as an approximation. They published tables for this region obtained by numerical computation with the help of which accurate fits for D and α are obtainable even for relatively unfavorable situations such as a low rate of the surface reaction.

Our results, to be discussed later on, shall be found to be divided in two categories. In one category t_0 is small so that there is no difficulty in fitting most of the data to a relation such as (7). Values for D and α obtained herefrom were then inserted in plots according to (8). Since the right-hand side of this equation is a single curve all experimental data should fit this curve including those obtained at relatively short times of reduction. It sometimes proved necessary to correct the original values of D and α in order to acquire a better fit but these corrections were small. The final choices of D and α are illustrated by showing the fit of the experimental data in plots according to (8). In another category, however, we found a peculiar behavior of the reaction kinetics not readily reconcilable with Eq. (6). One observes an initial period during which the reaction accelerates in what seems to be an exponential law. Plots of the results according to (7) show this relation to be a satisfactory approximation

with, however, relatively high values of t_0 . As could be expected in view of the exponential rise in the beginning of the reaction the data do not fit Eq. (8). We believe that this is caused by the fact that the boundary condition (2) is not applicable any more.

Starting from the reaction mechanism proposed above and assuming reaction (1) to be rate-determining, the rate law of the surface reaction should be written as

$$
k''p_cN_s\theta_s(1-\theta_s)z\qquad \qquad (9)
$$

where k'' is the reaction constant, p_c the partial pressure of 1-butene (supposed to remain constant during the reaction), N_s the number of sites per $cm²$ surface, z the number of nearest-neighbor sites to a certain site, θ_{s} the fractional coverage of the sites with O^{2-} and $(1 - \theta_s)$ the fractional coverage with anion vacancies. Clearly $N_s z \theta_s (1 - \theta_s)$ represents the number of pairs of sites constituted from an O^{2-} ion and a vacancy.

Now, if θ_0 (i.e., θ_s at $t = 0$) is low at the start of the reaction, Eq. (9) degenerates into Eq. (2) since $1 - \theta_s \simeq 1$, $N_s \theta_s \simeq C_s$ and C_0 can be taken to be zero. However, if $\theta_0 \simeq 1$, the surface reaction behaves differently: it starts by being slow to increase in speed later on. It appears as if the domain of validity of (8) is preceded by another one in which the surface reaction develops to its final form.

The integration of Fick's equation with (9) as the boundary condition has not, we believe, been attempted so far and at any rate appears to offer considerable difficulties. One can, however, try to ascertain what its consequences are for the initial stages of the reaction by an approximative treatment.

The situation at the surface can be represented by

$$
-\frac{dN}{dt} = k' N_{\ast} \theta_{\ast} (1 - \theta_{\ast}) - \frac{D N_{\ast}}{\lambda} \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0}
$$
(10)

where $-dN/dt$ is the number of oxygen atoms lost from the surface per cm2 and per unit time, $k' = k'' p_c z$, and θ is the fractional coverage of layers in the solid parallel to the surface layer by O^{2-} ions.

We shall now approximate the O^{2-} gradient in the solid by putting

$$
|\partial \theta / \partial x|_{x=0} = (1 - \theta_s) / \delta \qquad (11)
$$

where δ is a certain length, indicative of the degree of reduction of the solid during the initial surface reduction. Hence

$$
-\frac{d\theta_{s}}{dt}=k'\theta_{s}(1-\theta_{s})-\frac{D}{\lambda}\frac{(1-\theta_{s})}{\delta} \quad (12)
$$

After integration

$$
\theta_{\rm e} = \frac{1 + \eta P e^{\varphi t}}{1 + P e^{\varphi t}} \tag{13}
$$

where

$$
\eta = D/k' \lambda \delta = D/\alpha \delta
$$

\n
$$
P = (1 - \theta_0) / (\theta_0 - \eta) \qquad \varphi = k'(1 - \eta)
$$

Clearly θ_s starts by being equal to θ_0 at $t = 0$ to level out at η for $t = \infty$.

At the limit $d\theta_s/dt = 0$ and the stationary state required earlier is established. If θ_0 is near to one and η is considerably smaller than one, P is small. The total amount of material converted is

$$
\frac{1}{2}\{(1-\theta_{s})-(1-\theta_{0})\}\frac{\delta}{l}=\frac{1}{2}(\theta_{0}-\theta_{s})\frac{\delta}{l}
$$

where l is the average length of the semiinfinite slabs

$$
\frac{1-X}{1-X_e} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{P(1-\eta)(e^{\varphi t} - 1)}{(1+P)(1+Pe^{\varphi t})} \frac{\delta}{l} \quad (14)
$$

For P small, this degenerates for the start of the reduction to

$$
\frac{1-X}{1-X_e} = \frac{1}{2} P(1-\eta) e^{\varphi_t} \frac{\delta}{l}
$$

which causes us to expect an initial rate that increases exponentially with time.

Since η is of the order of 1 and $S_{\rho} = 1/l$ an approximate impression of the depth of penetration of the reduction during the initial stages can be obtained from

$$
\delta/l \simeq \rho SD/\alpha \tag{15}
$$

Obviously, this method of dealing with the initial situation is indeed very approximate and its sole merit probably lies in pointing out that under certain circumstances (i.e., very few surface vacancies and a low rate of the surface reaction) the particular nature of this surface reaction may reveal itself in the kinetics of the reduction by introducing what is in effect an induction period.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Preparation of the oxides. $Bi₂O_s$ was prepared by heating of $Bi(NO₃)_s·5H₂O$ p.a. at 600° C during 20 hr. MoO₃ p.a, manufactured by Merck, was used as such without previous calcination except in one experiment in which it was precalcined at 600°C during 20 hr. The bismuth molybdates used were the catalysts B-48/52 and B-50/50. Their preparations and compositions have already been described in our previous paper (1). The nonstoichiometric compounds $Mo_{4}O_{11}$, $Mo_{17}O_{47}$, $Mo_{8}O_{23}$, and $Mo_{18}O_{52}$ were prepared from mixtures of $MoO₃$ and $MoO₂$ and use has been made of the heating method of Magnéli and Kihlborg (7) . MoO₃ and MoOz were mixed in such a ratio that the compositions tallied with those of the compounds mentioned above. The mixtures were heated in vacuum in sealed tubes for hours after which they were cooled down rapidly in ice water in order to avoid side reactions. Table 1 shows the circumstances during the preparation.

TABLE₁ PREPARATION OF MOLYBDENUM OXIDES

Compound	Reaction time (hr)	Reaction tempera- ture $(^{\circ}C)$	Color of the product
Mo_4O_{11}	4	700	Violet
$Mo_{17}O_{47}$	200	530	Grayish-blue
Mo _{2x}	50	660	Blue
Mo ₁₈ O ₅₂	50	625	Blue

The two blue-colored compounds were of a metallic appearance. The compounds prepared were identified by comparing their X-ray diagrams with those given by Kihlborg. The interplanar spacings $(d \text{ values})$ were found to agree with those reported by Magneli and Kihlborg.

followed was circulation of a mixture of given off by the catalyst was calculated helium and 1-butene over the oxide in the from the percentages of the various gaseous

as calculated with respect to maximal reduction of the oxide used. Normally we started with volumes of 1-butene varying from 46 to 49 cm3 on 200 mg metal oxide, the 1-butene being diluted with helium to a mol ratio of He/l-butene equal to 4.5.

The essential parts of the apparatus were a microreactor of quartz, a sampling valve, a piston pump, a flow meter, and a mercury manometer. The microreactor was filled with the loosely packed oxide. The sampling valve enabled us to withdraw small amounts of the circulating gases and to transfer these to the column of a gas chromatograph. The piston pump maintained a gas velocity of 100 cm3 min-', the total volume of the apparatus being in the order of 200 cm3. A helium atmosphere around the exterior of the piston prevented leakage of air into the apparatus.

The apparatus was filled with helium and 1-butene at a constant ratio as regulated by flow meters. After closing the system, the pump was started and a sample was injected into the GLC-column to control the absence of air and the amount of 1-butene present in the apparatus. Then a movable furnace was heated to the reaction temperature desired, subsequently the catalyst was heated rapidly by transferring the hot furnace to its position round the catalyst. During warming up and during reaction the manometer noted pressure increases of 10 to 20 mm mercury. A tube with anhydrous $CaCl₂$ served as an adsorbent for the water produced.

Analysis. The gaseous products were separated by a 6-m column filled with 2,4 dimethylsulfolane on Chromosorb, helium being the carrier gas. Subsequent to the circulation experiments the spent oxide was analyzed by regeneration with oxygen at 773°K. The "coke" which covered the spent oxide was burned off and the amount of carbon dioxide formed was measured by titration. The amount of oxygen taken up by the spent oxide was determined by weighing.

Circulation apparatus. The procedure Calculations. The amount of oxygen absence of air. 1-Butene was present in excess components assuming following reactions :

Diene formation

 $C_4H_8 + 10 \rightarrow C_4H_6 + 1H_2O$

CO2 formation

 $C_4H_8 + 12O \rightarrow 4CO_2 + 4H_2O$

CO formation

 $C_4H_8 + 8O \rightarrow 4CO + 4H_2O$

'Coke" formation

$$
C_4H_8 + 4O \rightarrow 4C + 4H_2O
$$

RESULTS

These are divided in three parts:

(I) Experiments at constant temperature and varying time of reduction with $Bi₂O₃$, $MoO₃$, and bismuth molybdate, respectively.

(II) Temperature dependency of the rate of reduction of $MoO₃$ and of bismuth molybdate. Calculation of rate constants and of diffusion coefficients.

(III) Circulation experiments at constant temperature and varying time of reduction with nonstoichiometric molybdenum oxides.

I. Reduction of $Bi₂O₃$, $MoO₃$, and Bismuth Molybdate

The results are given as plots of the amounts of oxidation products formed versus circulation times.

A. Reduction of $Bi₂O₈$

Figure 1 represents the reduction of 200 and of 500 mg of $\rm{Bi}_2\rm{O}_3$ at 793° and 803°K, respectively. The reaction proceeds only slowly and the amount of butadiene is much smaller than that of carbon dioxide. At the beginning the color of $Bi₂O₃$ changed from orange to gray and at the end of reaction pure Bi metal was found in the spent oxide together with 1.2 mg of coke.

Only traces of isomerization products such as trans- and cis-2-butenes were observed. The main product is carbon dioxide while carbon monoxide is not observed in the reaction products.

B. Reduction of $MoO₃$

The results of an experiment in which 200 mg of $MoO₃$ was reduced at 811° K are represented in Fig. 2. The rate of reaction is much faster than on $Bi₂O₃$ but it seems to possess an induction period after which the rate accelerates to go through a maximum. The most important products are the 2-butenes. $CO₂$ and CO are also formed in quantities that are commensurable with butadiene.

The maxima in the $CO₂$ and $CO₂$ produc-

FIG. 1. Reductions of 200 mg and of 500 mg of Bi₂O₃ at 793° and at 803°K started with 40 cm³ and with 41 cm3 1-butene, respectively.

tions occur at a later time than that of butadiene, which might point to the possibility that they are formed from the diene. In the initial stage of the reaction equal amounts of cis- and trans-2-butenes are formed, but on further reaction the cis isomer begins to predominate.

Reoxidation of the reduced molybdenum oxide was shown to lead to an oxygen up-

FIG. 2. Reduction of 200 mg of fresh $MoO₃$ at 811°K started with 46 cm³ 1-butene.

take of 21.6 mg. In addition 1.9 mg of coke was burned off. From the assumptions given in the Experimental Procedure section under "Calculations" (above), we have calculated a total consumption of oxygen of 21.4 mg which is in satisfactory agreement with the value given above. Moreover, it agrees with the reduction of 200 mg of MoO_3 to 178.5 mg of $MoO₂$ and hence there is some justification for the conclusion that the reduction does not proceed further than to MoOz.

The results of an experiment in which 200 mg of precalcined $MoO₃$ was reduced at 783°K show the overall rate of reaction to be definitely slower than on fresh $MoO₃$, but the final conversion to butadiene and the product distribution were similar.

C. Reduction of Bismuth Molybdate

The reduction of 200 mg of bismuth molybdate, catalyst B-48/52, at 783°K is represented in Fig. 3. The overall rate of reaction is much faster than on MoO₃ and there is no induction period. The most important product is butadiene. From the steadily increasing CO and $CO₂$ productions one might deduce that these products are formed from the diene at a later stage. The $cis/trans$ ratio of the 2-butenes was equal to 1 during the whole reaction period. Reoxidation of the reduced bismuth molybdate resulted in a takeup of 15.2 mg of oxygen. In addition 2.1 mg of coke was burned off. A total oxygen consumption of 16.6 mg calculated from the end products formed is in approximate agreement herewith. No separate phase of metallic bismuth was observed in the spent catalyst.

In a preceding paper (1) we reported an inactive bismuth molybdate, viz., catalyst B-50/50. It was deemed useful to check this catalyst also on reducing capacity. The reduction of 200 mg of this catalyst with 1-butene has been carried out at a tempera- ture of $783^{\circ}K$. The CO and CO_2 formations: are about equal to those in Fig. 3 but the isomerization and the butadiene formation are far less than those reported above.

$Reduction$ of $MoO₃$ and of Bismuth **Molybdate** II. Temperature Dependency of the Rate of

A. Molybdenum Oxide

The analytical results of reoxidation of the reduced molybdenum oxides are given in Table 2 together with the oxygen consumptions calculated from the end products. formed after reduction. This table shows that the final consumption of oxygen is independent of temperature of reduction and that the reduction of 200 mg of fresh $MoO₃$ seems not to proceed further than to Mo^{IV} (21.5 mg oxygen). From the gaseous Droducts formed during the reductions the

FIG. 3. Reduction of 200 mg of bismuth molybdate (catalyst B-48/52) at 783° K started with 46 cm³ I-butene.

TABLE 2 COMPARATIVE DATA OY OXYGEN CONSUMPTION AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES OF REDUCTION

Temperature	Final oxygen consumption (mg)	Coke	
of reduction (°K)	Analyzed	Calculated	formation (m _R)
746°	21.9	20.2	$1.7\,$
773°	20.8	18.8	$1.1\,$
805° 838°	22.1 20.5	20.4 20.1	$1.2\,$ 0.9

constants A and B in Eq. (7) are calculated with the least-squares method. They are summarized in Table 3. The "fit" of the experimental data in the $l^{1/2}$ plot is shown in Fig. 4 and is seen to be remarkably good.

The surface area (S) of the MoO₃ used was 0.35 m² g⁻¹, its density (ρ) was 4.5 g cm-3. From these data and from the values of A and B at the temperatures mentioned, the corresponding rate constants (α) , diffusion constants (D) , and values of $t_0^{1/2}$ are calculated. They are also summarized in Table 3.

B. Bismuth Molybdate

The degree to which 200 mg of bismuth molybdate can be reduced appears to be

TABLE	
--------------	--

RATE CONSTANTS (α) , DIFFUSION COEFFICIENTS (D) , and t_0 of Fresh MoO₃ and of BISMUTH MOLYBDATE

a From Eq. (7).

 δ From Eq. (8).

FIG. 4. Equation (7) for fresh $MoO₃$ at four different temperatures and for precalcined MoOa.

strongly dependent on the temperature, as can be observed in Table 4 with comparative data of oxygen consumption at the end of reduction. The highest oxygen consumption

TABLE 4 OXYGEN CONSUMPTION AT DIFFERENT **TEMPERATURES**

Temperature of reduction $({}^{\circ}{\rm K})$	Final oxygen consumption (m _E)	Coke	
	Analyzed	Calculated	formation $(m_{\mathcal{R}})$
687	2.6	3.4	0.8
725	7.4	9.0	1.1
783	15.2	16.6	2.1

observed showed to be nearly equivalent to reduction of Bi^{III} and Mo^{VI} to Bi^o and MoIV.

In the same way as we did for $MoO₃$ and substituting for S and ρ values of 0.26 m^2 g⁻¹ and 6.0 g cm⁻³, respectively, we calculated α , D, and $t_0^{1/2}$. (See Table 3.) In Fig. 5 the plot of Σ as a function of $(t/t_0)^{1/2}$ is

FIG. 5. The mathematical equation (8) for the data of the catalysts B-48/52 and B-50/50.

given. The results with sample B-50/50 with $S = 0.18$ m² g⁻¹ are incorporated in this figure.

III. Circulation Experiments with the Nonstoichiometric Molybdenum Oxides $Mo_{4}O_{11}$, $Mo_{17}O_{47}$, $Mo_{8}O_{23}$, and $Mo_{18}O_{52}$

Only the most relevant data, particularly those corresponding to the butadiene formation, are reported here.

The reductions were carried out with 200 mg of the nonstoichiometric compound at a temperature of 793°K. The results are represented in Fig. 6. The diene formations on precalcined $MoO₃$ at a reaction temperature of $783^{\circ}K$ and on fresh $MoO₃$ at $811^{\circ}K$ are incorporated in this figure. It can be seen that all compounds showed induction periods except $Mo₁₇O₄₇$. This latter compound was

FIG. 6. Butadiene formation during reductions of 200 mg of $Mo_{17}O_{47}$, $Mo_{8}O_{23}$, $Mo_{18}O_{82}$, and $Mo_{4}O_{11}$ at 793°K started with 45.7, 47.1, 50.0, and 49 cm3 1-butene, respectively.

already extremely active at the start of reduction.

The results of calculation of the slopes (B) and the intersections (A) in Eq. (6) are summarized in Table 5. The reaction with

TABLE 5 THE SLOPES (B) and Intersections (A) of Eq. (6) FOR THE NONSTOICHIOMETRIC COMPOUNDS, PERCALCINED MoOs, AND BisO

Compound	Т $({}^{\circ}{\rm K})$	$10^3 \times B$ $(\sec^{-1/2})$	$10^3 \times A$ $(-)$	
Mo_8O_{23}	793	20.5	492	
$Mo_{18}O_{52}$	793	22.3	1089	
Mo_4O_{11}	793	15.2	778	
Precalcined MoO ₃	783	15.7	1036	
Bi ₂ O ₃	783	9.3	369	

 $Mo_{17}O_{47}$ was so fast that no reliable values could be obtained.

ISOMERIZATION-DEHYDROGENATION

According to the reaction scheme given in the introduction, the ratio (R) of isomerization products and dehydrogenation products is an important quantity to indicate the nature of the catalyst surface sites. In Fig. 7 the ratio $(R = 2$ -butenes/butadiene) is represented as function of the conversion $[(1 - X)/(1 - X_{\epsilon})]$ for fresh MoO₃ and for the catalyst B-48/52. It is seen from this

figure that the ratio (R) is high for MoO₃ and dependent on temperature. For the catalyst B-48/52 the ratio is low and almost independent of temperature.

The nonstoichiometric compounds show similar results for the ratio (R) as $MoO₃$. $Mo₄O₁₁$ initially shows an R value about equal to that of $MoO₃$ but this decreases rapidly to about 1.5. $Mo_{18}O_{52}$ and $Mo_{8}O_{23}$ possess R values of about 1.5 and 1.0 that decrease only slightly with the conversion. Finally $Mo_{17}O_{47}$ is also exceptional in its isomerization activity in showing R values of 4-3.

DISCUSSION

From the results given before we can make a qualitative comparison between the rate of oxidative dehydrogenation and that of the catalyst reduction.

The $Bi_2O_3-M_2O_3$ catalyst is an active catalyst for the oxidative dehydrogenation at temperatures between 400" and 500°C while it is also selective in being only slightly active for double-bond isomerization. In the same temperature range its surface layers are rapidly reduced by 1-butene, the product being mainly butadiene. Relatively inactive species of the catalyst also show a decreased activity for being reduced.

FIG. 7. The isomerisation-dehydrogenation ratio as a function of the conversion.

MoOa is only slightly active for dehydrogenation in the temperature range mentioned but shows a fairly good isomerization activity. Its initial rate of reduction is also slow and becomes appreciable only after some reduction has occurred. Among the products we observe that the Z-butenes are present in considerable excess in satisfactory agreement with the catalytic activity of this compound.

Qualitatively there is hence a strong resemblance between the rate of the oxidative dehydrogenation and the rate of reduction, thus leading to the impression that the rate-determining reaction in the catalysis is identical with the surface reduction of the catalyst. We may now attempt to put this qualitative similarity on a somewhat firmer quantitative basis. As has been said before one then has to extrapolate reduction measurements that actually refer to compounds in an advanced state of reduction to situations that involve only a minor oxygen depletion, i.e., one should reconstitute the surface reaction from experiments in which a considerable part of the underlying solid is already reduced and in which the reduction is mainly determined by diffusion of oxygen ions in the solid.

A clear understanding of the diffusional mechanism is therefore necessary before an

attempt to unravel the mechanism of the surface reaction can be made.

A. The Diffusion Constant

The reduction experiments furnish two sets of data from which numerical values for the diffusion constants can be obtained:

(1) the reduction of $Bi_2O_3-MoO_3$ catalysts that can be represented mathematically by either Eq. (7) or (8) and with t_0 values of 10-100 sec.

(2) the reduction of $MoO₃$ samples that fit Eq. (7) with relatively high t_0 values $(500-2500 \text{ sec})$ but that do not fit Eq. (8) .

From the slope (B) of Eq. (7) values for D can be obtained if S and ρ are known. However, the D values for $MoO₃$ are somewhat suspect in view of the deviations from the expected kinetics.

It is therefore of interest in this connection that von Destinon-Forstmann (8) published experimental results on the reduction of $MoO₃$ by hydrogen. The mathematical model applied there to explain the results differs from that used by us. On inspection, however, it is found that these results are equally well represented by Eqs. (7) and (8) with t_0 values of the order 100-500 sec (see Table 6). Evidently the reduction by hydrogen involves a different surface reaction but the

CONSTANTS (α) for the Reduction of MoO₃ BY HYDROGEN CALCULATED FROM THE SLOPES (B) and Intersections (A) of Eq. (7) from EXPERIMENTAL DATA OF VON

Т $(^{\circ}K)$	$10^3 \times B$ $(sec^{-1/2})$	$10^3 \times A$ $(-)$	$10^8 \times \alpha$ $(cm \sec^{-1})$	$10^{14} \times D$ $(cm2 sec-1)$	$t_0^{1/2} =$ $\frac{(1/\alpha)D^{1/2}}{(\text{sec}^{1/2})}$
673	5.3	106	1.52	11.9	22.7
723	10.3	215	2.89	46.0	23.5
773	13.4	132	7.95	77.7	11.1
823	23.2	164	19.09	231.9	8.0

numerical values of the diffusion constant obtained in the two sets of experiments should be the same.

Figure 8 shows the various values of D

TABLE 6 obtained at different temperatures in the THE DIFFUSION CONSTANTS (D) AND RATE form of a log D versus $1000/T$ plot. The data obtained from the hydrogen reduction were calculated assuming S and ρ of the von Destinon-Forstmann samples to be identical PERIMENTAL DATA OF YORK to those of our samples. It is seen that the DESTINON-FORSTMANN[®] two sets of D values for $MO₃$ are very similar and can be considered to be equal within the accuracy of the experiments. Therefore the interpretation given to the B parameter of Eq. (7) also seems to hold for the reduction of $MoO₃$ by 1-butene. In the temperature range around 800° K the D values for $MoO₃$ and $Bi₂O₃-MoO₃$ appear to be equal **4** Assumed was $S = 0.30 \times 10^4$ cm² g⁻⁷ and $\rho =$ although there is some indication that at 4.5 g cm⁻³. lower temperatures the diffusion in bismuth molybdate is more difficult.

> The straight lines in Fig. 8 are connected to the relation

$$
D = D_0 \exp(-E_D/RT) \tag{16}
$$

FIG. 8. The diffusion constant dependency on temperature for the catalyst B-48/52, for fresh MoO₃, and for MoOa prepared by von Destinon-Forstmann.

The parameters for the different samples are as follows :

 $Bi/Mo = 48/52$

 $\log D_0 = -3.73$ $E_D = 29.3$ kcal mole⁻¹ $MoO₃$ (butene reduction)

 $\log D_0 = -5.62$ $E_D = 22.1$ kcal mole⁻¹

 $MoO₃$ (H₂ reduction)

 $\log D_0 = -6.145$ $E_D = 20.6$ kcal mole⁻¹ MoOa combined values

 $log D_0 = -5.686$ $E_D = 22.0$ kcal mole⁻¹

According to the absolute rate theory (Glasstone, Laidler, Eyring)

$$
D_0 = (\lambda^2 k T/h) \{1 - \exp(-h\mu/kT)\} \quad (17)
$$

where λ is the jump distance and v the frequency of the vibration of the migrating species in its rest position. Taking λ to be 3×10^{-8} cm, the term $\lambda^2 kT/h$ is of the order 10^{-2} , which is near enough to the experimental values, in view of the fact that $h\mu$ should be of the order of kT . The experimental D values obtained by us are therefore of the correct order of magnitude.

The observation that D is equal for $Bi₂O_s-MoO₃$ and $MoO₃$ at temperatures around 800°K might be extrapolated to other $MoO₃-containing compounds such as$ the nonstoichiometric MO oxides. Since for most of these S_{ρ} is not known, this quantity could now be obtained from the B parameter assuming D to be equal to D of MoO₃ or of bismuth molybdate. The knowledge then of S_{ρ} should enable us to calculate α which is the parameter in which we are primarily interested. Values obtained in this manner are assembled in Table 7.

B. The Reaction at the Surface

Figure 9 and Table 7 contain a summary of the experimental values of α obtained from Eq. (7). For the reduction of bismuth molybdate by 1-butene and of $MoO₃$ by hydrogen these values can be interpreted in accordance with the boundary condition (2). Their interpretation for the reduction of MOO, and the nonstoichiometric MO oxides remains somewhat less obvious. The $\log \alpha$ versus $1/T$ plot for the bismuth molybdate reduction is seen to be curved in good agreement with the results found for the oxidative dehydrogenation of 1-butene over this catalyst [see ref. (1)]. It can, moreover, be shown that the rate of reduction and the rate of oxidation are approximately equal for bismuth molybdate; this is calculated now for a temperature of 460°C.

The log α value at this temperature is equal to -6.9 . Using a λ value of 3×10^{-8} cm (the diameter of an O^{2-} ion) we find from the relationship $\alpha = \lambda k_{\rm s}$ that $k_{\rm s} \simeq 4$ sec⁻¹; half the available oxygen from the surface is therefore converted in $1/4$ sec. For 1 g of catalyst (with an apparent volume of $1/2$ cm³ and a surface area of 3×10^3 cm²) the total number of surface O^{2-} ions (surface area 9 Å^2 is 3×10^{18} . Of these about half can be removed, i.e., 1.5×10^{18} . In 1 sec therefore 6×10^{18} oxygen ions react with 1-butene to give 6×10^{18} molecules of

TABLE '7

COMPARISON OF RATES OF SURFACE REACTIONS FOR MO-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS AT 783°K AND AT $793^\circ K^{a,b}$

Sample	$10 \times A$ $(-)$	$10^3 \times B$ $(sec^{-1/2})$	S $(m^2 \times g^{-1})$	$10^8 \times \alpha$ $(cm \sec^{-1})$	$10^{14} \times D$ $(cm^3 \times sec^{-1})$		$R_0 \rightarrow R_0$
$Bi/Mo = 48/52783$ ^o K	9.42	18.10	0.26	17.5	106		0.5
$Bi/Mo = 50/50783$ ^o K	3.11	11.87	0.18	3.3	95	0.1	0.3
$MoOa$ fresh 783°K			0.35	2.8	145	3	$\boldsymbol{2}$
$MoO3$ precalc. 783°K	10.36	15.67	(0.26)	1.6	$145***$	3	$\mathbf{2}$
$Mo_4O_{11}793°K$	7.78	15.19	(0.24)	2.2	157	2.7	1.6
Mo ₈ O ₂₃ 793°K	4.92	20.52	(0.33)	4.6	$157**$	1.2	0.8
$Mo_{18}O_{52}793^{\circ}K$	10.89	22.27	(0.35)	2.3	$157***$	1.6	1.1

^a Density of the nonstoichiometric Mo oxides assumed to be 4.5 g cm⁻³.

^b Numbers marked with ** are assumed; numbers in parentheses are calculated values herefrom.

FIG. 9. The rate constant dependency on temperature, for fresh MoO₃, and catalyst B-48/52 in reaction with 1-butene and for $MoO₃$ in reaction with hydrogen.

butadiene $(1/4 \text{ cm}^3)$. So per cm³ of catalyst, 0.5 cm3 of butadiene is formed per second.

For the catalytic experiment at $\text{GHSV} =$ 4200, i.e., about one volume butene per volume catalyst, a conversion of 63% is observed, which means that per cm3 of catalyst, 0.63 cm3 of butadiene is formed per second. Within the accuracy of our experiments and the uncertainty in the factors involved in the calculations the two rates appear equal.

Our conclusion is therefore that in view of the close qualitative and quantitative correspondence between reduction and oxidative dehydrogenation for bismuth molybdate, the latter reaction starts as a reduction of the catalyst, in good agreement with the theory proposed.

The smaller activity of the $MO₃$ samples is reflected in the considerably smaller vaIues for α . To explain a small α one might consider the following possibilities:

- (a) α is smaller because k'' in a boundary condition such as given by Eq. (2) is small;
- (b) it is smaller because the subsequent dehydration is slow;
- (c) it is smaller because it is connected with a boundary condition of the type (9) with the additional condition that (1

 $-\theta_{s}$, i.e., the vacancy concentration, is small.

Assumption (b) cannot be valid since the reduction by hydrogen that also involves a dehydration step possesses a relatively large α value. Assumption (a) suffers from the inability to explain the existence of an induction period. Therefore assumption (c) appears to be the most likely cause. It then remains to explain why $MoO₃$ contains so few vacancies on its surface.

The structure of $MoO₃$ is represented in Fig. 10. It consists of double layers that in the plane of the layers are given by Fig. 10a and perpendicular to this plane by 10b. The layers therefore are constituted by having O^{2-} layers on the outside except at the edges. Here, there are either OH^- ions (see 10c and d) or alternatively pairs of O^{2-} + vacancy sites obtained by dissociation of $H₂O$ from a pair of OH^- groups. The layers are stacked on top of each other so that the outer surface consists of planes with O^{2-} ions or with hydroxyl, respectively, O^{2-} vacancy pairs.

Since the $MoO₃$ samples usually consist of platelike crystals, the greater part of the outer surface may be considered to be formed by O^{2-} ions, thus explaining the relatively small concentration of vacancies at the outer surface. Reduction there may be supposed to begin at the edges and therefore to be slow in the initial stages of the reaction. Because of migration of O^{2-} ions from the layer planes to the edges, the former will gradually acquire a certain concentration of vacancies with a consequent increase in the rate of reduction. In the catalytic reaction, however, these vacancies will be filled by oxygen from the gas phase so that only the edges remain active.

Hence, our final conclusion: MoO_3 sites $H_{\text{O}}^{(1)}$ and $H_{\text{O}}^{(2)}$ or \downarrow or \downarrow or \downarrow active for the catalysis are mainly located on 1° OH $_1^{\circ}$ OH i the edges of the layers, a situation highly $\begin{bmatrix} H_0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \$ reminiscent of that postulated by Arlman (9) for the Ziegler-Natta polymerization over $\overline{O} \longrightarrow \overline{O} \longrightarrow \overline{O} \longrightarrow \overline{O} \longrightarrow \overline{O} \longrightarrow \overline{O}$

Precalcination of MoO₃ might be considered to lead to an increase in the number of layers stacked on each other, a process that should cause a considerable decrease of consideration of consequences of \sim surface area. It might also entail a growth of the layer dimension in one direction by the fusing of edges, such as

The latter reaction would not lead to a substantial decrease in surface area but it would decrease the number of active sites and therefore increase the initial period of increase of speed of reduction.

From Table 7 we see that S does not decrease very much as shown by the relative constancy of the B factor in Eq. (7) but α decreases more strongly, in satisfactory agreement with the second model of crystal growth discussed.

$C.$ The Relative Rate of Isomerization and Dehydrogenation and the formation of butadiene as

Table 7 summarizes the selectivities for a number of MO-containing compounds as given by the ratio $R = 2$ -butenes formed/ butadiene formed. The R values in this table refer to the selectivity in the initial stages of the reduction (R_0) and at almost complete reduction (R_{∞}) . It is seen that the R_{∞} values are generally lower than the R_0 values, i.e., the surface reaction becomes more selective for oxides that are considerably reduced. Let us first consider the R_0 values. They are seen to vary considerably for the different oxides, and it is to be noted that the differences between bismuth molybdate and MoOa are again closely similar to those observed in the catalytic reaction. Another question is whether we can understand the differences in selectivity. We have postulated the isomerization reaction to be the reverse reaction of (l), i.e.,

$$
[C_4H_7=Mo]^{5+} + OH^- \rightarrow C_4H_8 + O^{2-} + \square + Mo^{6+}
$$

$$
[\mathrm{C}_4\mathrm{H}_7\text{=}\mathrm{Mo}]^{5+} + \mathrm{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_4\mathrm{H}_6 + \mathrm{OH}^- + \square + \mathrm{Mo}^{4+}
$$

Therefore R must depend on the relative concentration of OH^- and O^{2-} in the direct vicinity of the site. Let us suppose that every vacancy has z neighbor anions and that a fraction θ_{OH} is originally present as OH-. After formation of the allyl complex there will be $(\theta_{\text{OR}}z + 1)$ OH- ions and $\{(1 - \theta_{\text{OH}})z - 1\}$ Q^{2-} ions. Therefore

$$
R = \gamma \frac{0.5(\theta_{\text{OH}} + 1/z)}{(1 - \theta_{\text{OH}}) - 1/z} \tag{18}
$$

where γ is an *a priori* probability and the factor 0.5 in the denominator accounts for the possibility that the formation of butene can lead both to 1-butene and 2-butene which are assumed here to be equally probable. We shall further suppose in the folloming that γ is equal for all compounds investigated. Now, for the catalyst B-50/50 we find an extremely low R $(\simeq 0.1)$. Putting $\theta_{\text{OH}} = 0$ and $z = 4$ we find that γ is of the order of 1.

Therefore, as an approximation we write

$$
R \simeq \frac{0.5(\theta_{\text{OH}} + 1/z)}{(1 - \theta_{\text{OH}}) - 1/z}
$$

The two parameters that define R are therefore θ_{OH} and z. A high θ_{OH} assumes the reaction

$$
2OH^-\!\rightarrow\!O^{2-}+\Box+H_2O
$$

to be slow. The mechanism of the dehydroxylation must be as follows:

$$
2OH^- \rightarrow O^{2-} + H_2O
$$

$$
H_2O \rightarrow \Box + H_2O
$$

where H_2O means a surface-bonded water molecule.

Now, the heats of hydration of H_2O on metal cations increase strongly with the charge of the cation. Therefore Bi^{3+} (H₂O) should dissociate its water ligand faster than $Mo⁶⁺$ and even $Mo⁴⁺$. We believe this effect to be of prime importance in determining the low θ_{OH} and therefore the greater selectivity of the bismuth molybdate.

However, even for slow dehydroxylation, where θ_{OH} is high, the concentration of OHcannot be appreciable unless it is assumed that the site density is high. The H atoms are deposited on the surface by the dehydrogenation but the particular site on which this occurs becomes temporarily deactivated. For active sites to be surrounded by OH we have to assume the presence of deactivated sites in their immediate neighborhood, which points to a large concentration of sites. For

 R to be low, the number of neighbor anions *z* should be high. If, for instance, $z = 2$ the statistical probability that an ally1 complex can donate an H atom to an oxygen ion becomes low because already one of the surrounding anions has accepted an H atom.

These two factors, high density of sites and low z, seems to fit the $MoO₃$ structure in a remarkably good manner. Looking again at Fig. 10 we see that the configurations, supposed to be active, are located on the edges $(10c$ and d). On one edge type there occur isolated groups of two anion sites, on the other a zigzag row of such sites. At best therefore $z = 2$. The model given above, which accounts for the increase in reducibility, is therefore also in agreement with the relative importance of the isomerization reaction.

D. The Activity and Reducibility of the Nonstoichiometric Mo Oxides

The nonstoichiometric Mo oxides, apart from $Mo_{17}O_{47}$, are similar in their reactivity pattern to MoO₃. Generally speaking, however, their R_0 values are lower than for Moos. This might perhaps be explained from the fact that their structures are. derived from the $\text{Re}O_3$ structure, i.e., a structure that does not, present the localization of sites on special crystal faces and therefore does not show the high surface density of sites nor the low z value characteristic for the double-layer $MoO₃$ lattice. $Mo₁₇O₄₇$ presents an interesting deviation of the generally observed reaction patterns. It reacts very rapidly with 1-butene under copious formation of 2-butenes and without an induction period being present. Since it is formed by interaction of $MoO₂$ and $MoO₃$ at temperatures around 500°C one might consider the possibility that it is formed during the reduction of MoOa, thereby explaining the increase in rate of reduction in the initial stages of the reaction. Actually, of course, this involves an increase of D , the diffusion constant, with increasing oxygen depletion. However, it is difficult to envisage why reduction by hydrogen that is fast from the very beginning of the reaction does not profit from the possible formation of this intermediate compound.

We therefore believe that it is not formed during either the reduction by 1-butene or hydrogen. Why then is it so active? This may have to do with its peculiar structure. According to Kihlborg (10) it consists of a complicated pattern obtained by connecting polyhedra, some octahedra but also pentagonal bipyramids, sharing corners and edges. The structure contains "tunnels" that normally are blocked by "dangling" oxygen ions. Reduction, however, may remove precisely these blocking ions so that the surface area increases with increasing reduction, thus explaining the fast rate of reduction. Why it is such an active isomerisation catalyst remains unexplained, however.

REFERENCES

1. BATIST, PH. A., LIPPENS, B. C., AND SCHUIT, G. C. A., J. Catalysis 5, 55 (1966).

- 5'. ADAMS, C. R., Proc. Intern. Congr. Catalysis, Srd, Amsterdam, 1964 1, 240 (1965).
- 3. SACHTLER, W. H. M., AND DE BOER, N. H., Proc. Intern. Congr. Catalysis, Srd, Amsterdam, 1964 1, 252 (1965).
- 4. CRANK, J., "The Mathematics of Diffusion," p. 34. Oxford, Clarendon, 1957.
- 5. HAUL, R., JUST, D., AND DÜMBGEN, G., Sauerstoff Diffusion in Oxyden. Proc. Intern. Symp. Reactivity of Solids, 4th, Amsterdam, 1960. (Elsevier, 1961).
- $6.$ HAUL, R., DÜMBGEN, G., AND JUST, D., Z. Phgsik. Chem. (Frankfurt) 31, 309 (1962).
- 7. MAGNÉLI, A., Acta Chem. Scand. 2, 501 (1948); 2, 861 (1948); KIHLBORG, L., ibid. 13, 954 (1959); KIHLBORG, L., Arkiv Kemi 21, 443, 461 (1963).
- 8. VON DESTINON-FORSTMANN, J., Can. Metallurg. Quart. 4, 1 (1965).
- 9. ARLMAN, E. J., J. Catalysis 3, 89 (1964).
- IO. KIHLBORQ, L., "The Crystal Chemistry of MO Oxides." Advan. Chem. Ser. 39.